	Case 1:05-cv-00228-AWI-DLB Docum	ent 16 Filed 03/13/06 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8	IN THE UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOHNNEY RAMEY,	CASE NO. 1: 05 CV 00228 AWI DLB P	
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
13		RECOMMENDING THAT PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS BE	
14		REVOKED AND PLAINTIFF BE ORDERED TO PAY THE \$250.00 FILING FEE WITHIN THIRTY DAYS	
15	KIM TRAN, et al.,	[Doc. 9]	
16	Defendants.	[200.3]	
17			
18		ntiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights	
19	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintif	f filed this action on February 11, 2005, and was granted	
20	leave to proceed in forma pauperis on April 6, 2005. Research of the actions filed by plaintiff reveals		
21	that plaintiff is not entitled to proceed in forma pauperis. Accordingly, as set forth below, the cour		
22	recommends that plaintiff's in forma pauperis status be revoked, and plaintiff be ordered to pay the		
23	\$250.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. If plaintiff fails to pay the \$250.00 filing fee within thirty		
24	days, this action should be dismissed.		
25	28 U.S.C. § 1915 provides that "[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action under this		
26	section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility		
27	brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it i		
28	frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim	upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is	

## Case 1:05-cv-00228-AWI-DLB Document 16 Filed 03/13/06 Page 2 of 2

under imminent danger of serious physical injury." A review of the record of actions filed by plaintiff reveals that plaintiff filed three or more actions that were dismissed as frivolous, as malicious, or for failing to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.. Thus, plaintiff is subject to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and is precluded from proceeding in forma pauperis unless plaintiff was, at the time the complaint was filed, under imminent danger of serious physical injury. The court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint and finds that plaintiff has alleged no facts that support a finding that he was under imminent danger of serious physical injury. Thus, plaintiff may not proceed in forma pauperis in this action. Inasmuch as plaintiff was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in error, plaintiff's in forma pauperis action should be revoked and plaintiff should be ordered to pay the \$250.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. If plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee in full, this action should be dismissed without prejudice.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the court HEREBY RECOMMENDS that:

- 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), plaintiff's in forma pauperis status be revoked;
- 2. Plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$250.00 filing fee in full within thirty (30) days; and
- 3. This action be dismissed without prejudice if plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee in full within thirty days.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within **thirty (30) days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _	March 10, 2006	/s/ Dennis L. Beck
3b142a		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See CV-F-98-5450 AWI DLB P Ramey v. County of Fresno</u> (dismissed on August 20, 1998 for failure to state a claim); CV-F-97-6215 OWW DLB P <u>Ramey v. Sylvester</u> (Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c) granted on March 29, 1999; motion not treated as a motion for summary judgment); and CV-F-98-6327 OWW SMS P Ramey v. Loo (dismissed on May 25, 1999 for failure to state a claim).